RESPONSE FROM THE COMMITTEE TO THE IAEG-SDG ON TOURISM INDICATORS

Background

The adoption of the UN 2030 Development Agenda and the Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs) in September 2015 has also driven a process to develop relevant indicators for the monitoring of the goals and associated targets.

On 6 March 2015, at its forty-sixth session, the United Nations Statistical Commission, following the important mandate given to it from the General Assembly, created an Inter-agency and Expert Group on SDG Indicators (IAEG-SDGs). It is composed of Member States and includes regional and international agencies as observers. The IAEG-SDGs has been tasked to develop an indicator framework for the goals and targets of the post-2015 development agenda at the global level, and to support its implementation.

Throughout 2015, in consultation with experts in tourism statistics and coordinated by UNWTO and the UN Committee on Statistics and the Tourism Satellite Account (the Committee), the following indicators were proposed to cover the three SDG targets that explicitly mention sustainable tourism:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Target 8.9: By 2030, devise and implement policies to promote sustainable tourism that creates jobs and promotes local culture and products</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>8.9.1 GDP in tourism: Tourism direct GDP (as a percentage of total GDP and in growth rate)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8.9.2 Jobs in tourism: Number of jobs in tourism industries (as a percentage of total jobs and growth rate of jobs, by sex)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8.9.3 Energy use in tourism: Net domestic energy use by tourism industries</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Target 12.b: Develop and implement tools to monitor sustainable development impacts for sustainable tourism that creates jobs and promotes local culture and products</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>12.b.1 Stage of implementation of monitoring tools which are the Tourism Satellite Accounts (TSA) and the System of Environmental-Economic Accounting (SEEA)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Target 14.7: By 2030, increase the economic benefits to small island developing States and least developed countries from the sustainable use of marine resources, including through sustainable management of fisheries, aquaculture and tourism</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>[suggested to complement the IAEG-SDG proposed indicator with above indicators 8.9.1 - 8.9.3]</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

These proposals were submitted in a note\(^1\) to the IAEG-SDG and subject to discussion within the broader IAEG-SDG process of developing a proposed indicator set for monitoring the SDGs.

The IAEK-SDG presented its proposed global indicator framework to the Commission at its forty-seventh session in March 2016 which included, in relation to Targets 8.9, 12.b and 14.7, the following indicators:

| Target 8.9: By 2030, devise and implement policies to promote sustainable tourism that creates jobs and promotes local culture and products |
| 8.9.1* Tourism direct GDP (as a percentage of total GDP and in growth rate); and number of jobs in tourism industries (as a percentage of total jobs and growth rate of jobs, by sex) |
| Target 12.b: Develop and implement tools to monitor sustainable development impacts for sustainable tourism that creates jobs and promotes local culture and products |
| 12.b.1* Residual flows generated as a result of tourism; direct GDP |
| Target 14.7: By 2030, increase the economic benefits to small island developing States and least developed countries from the sustainable use of marine resources, including through sustainable management of fisheries, aquaculture and tourism |
| 14.7.1* Fisheries as a percentage of GDP |

Some of the indicators in the proposed framework were “marked with an asterisk, indicating that at the time of the submission of this report further work was required to better understand the existing proposals and reach consensus”. All indicators related to the sustainable tourism targets were marked with an asterisk.

The Commission, in its decision 47/101, agreed, as a practical starting point, with the IAEK-SDGs proposed global indicator framework for the Goals and targets of the 2030 Agenda for Sustainable Development, subject to future technical refinement. The Commission recognized that the development of a robust and high-quality indicator framework is a technical process that will need to continue over time and requested the Group to provide its proposals and a plan for reviews of the indicator framework to the Commission at its forty-eighth session. It was also noted that “follow-up and review at the high-level political forum will be informed by an annual progress report on the Sustainable Development Goals to be prepared by the Secretary-General in cooperation with the United Nations system, based on the global indicator framework and data produced by national statistical systems”.

The UNWTO Committee was aware that the IAEK-SDG wished to better recognize the “sustainable” aspect of tourism inherent in the targets as well as “local culture and products” in targets 8.9 and 12.b.

The IAEK-SDG also recognized that the indicator for 12.b.1 (i.e. residual flows) was problematic, an issue that had been raised by UNWTO and the Committee in the various rounds of consultation, and in 2016 it revised this indicator. The new indicator (i.e. Number of sustainable tourism strategies or policies and implemented action plans with agreed monitoring and evaluation tools), however, also had some fundamental issues that were raised by UNWTO and the Committee in a Note to the IAEK-SDG (see Annex 1), as well as in the consultation rounds.

In relation to Target 8.9, the IAEK-SDG indicators are the same as those proposed by the Committee except for the exclusion of an indicator to cover the environmental dimension of sustainable tourism. Although relevant international statistical standards exist for the derivation of the energy use in tourism indicators (via the TSA:RMF and the SEEA Central Framework) it was understood that this indicator was not retained due to the lack of implementation in countries. One option might have been to retain the environmental indicator as a Tier III indicator.

Towards the end of 2016, the IAEK-SDG still had these same indicators on the table for 8.9 and 12.b, namely:

| Target 8.9: By 2030, devise and implement policies to promote sustainable tourism that creates jobs and promotes local culture and products |
| 8.9.1* Tourism direct GDP (as a percentage of total GDP and in growth rate); and number of jobs in tourism industries (as a percentage of total jobs and growth rate of jobs, by sex) |
| Target 12.b: Develop and implement tools to monitor sustainable development impacts for sustainable tourism that creates jobs and promotes local culture and products |
| 12.b.1* Number of sustainable tourism strategies or policies and implemented action plans with agreed monitoring and evaluation tools |

3 See for example the Tier Information Sheet (as of 24 March 2016) available on the IAEK-SDG website: http://unstats.un.org/sdgs/files/meetings/iaeg-sdgs-meeting-03/Provisional-Proposed-Tiers-for-SDG-Indicators-24-03-16.pdf
4 See for example the Compiled Results of Open Consultation on Possible Refinements (Oct 2016), where the note for indicator 8.9.2 reads: “A Possible Refinement to Indicator 8.9.2: The IAEK would like to refine the indicator in order to specifically refer to “sustainable tourism and use of local products” but no concrete proposal currently exists”. 
It was the understanding of UNWTO and the Committee that the IAEG-SDG had reached a general agreement on these, and that these were to be proposed to the UN Statistical Commission’s meeting in March 2017. Unfortunately, no direct discussion about these concerns between the IAEG-SDG and the relevant tourism statistics agencies and experts had taken place. Nonetheless, the status of the indicators seemed finalized.

However, in December 2016, by chance, the Chair of the Committee on Tourism Statistics and TSA and the UNWTO happened to learn about a change to one of the indicators proposed for monitoring target 8.9 via the draft of the SDG indicators report to be presented by the IAEG-SDG to the UN Statistical Commission in March 2017. In this report a new indicator concerning jobs in tourism has been included, namely:

### 8.9.2: “Proportion of jobs in sustainable industries out of total tourism jobs”

No definition of this new indicator or rationale for the change is provided in the report.

In light of this development, the Chair of the Committee contacted the Co-Chairs of the IAEG-SDG to gain an understanding of the change. The response indicates that the change was made within the IAEG-SDG itself rather than via consultation and reflected a general desire for the indicator to be more sympathetic to the generic sustainability ambitions described in the target. It was accepted that this indicator did not currently exist and hence would be a Tier III indicator but suggested that tourism statistics experts might be able to establish in the short-term a measurement solution building on the established policy definition of sustainable tourism.

There are significant concerns raised by the proposed new indicator and the implications for monitoring the relevant SDG target 8.9, and these are outlined in this note.

**Some lessons from previous and ongoing work on measuring the sustainability of tourism**

At a technical level, the primary concern is that the newly proposed indicator for jobs in tourism implies the need to determine which industries within the tourism “sector” might be considered sustainable and from this base assess the proportion of total tourism jobs that are in those industries. It may be that this is not the intended meaning but it seems the most viable interpretation.

Using this starting point, an initial focus must consider the potential to measure “sustainable tourism industries”. As indicated in the response from the IAEG-SDG co-chair, it is certainly true that there is no established, statistically based indicator of sustainable tourism that would support identification of sustainable tourism industries. At the same time, it is also true that there is a well-established policy concept of sustainable tourism that has been developed under the auspices of the UNWTO. In concert with the work on the policy aspects of sustainable tourism there has also been an active program of measurement and the development of sustainable tourism indicators. While, to date, this has not involved the use or development of statistical standards, there is substantive research that can be used to inform on the potential to measure sustainable tourism.

However, the current situation is that after more than 20 years there is no conclusively agreed single indicator, or set of indicators, especially not one that might be applied at a national level. Essentially, the measurement approaches involved developing sets of indicators across the variety of themes relevant to sustainable tourism covering its economic, environmental and social dimensions. Indicator sets can comprise anywhere from around 10 to more than 200 indicators. The UNWTO Sustainable Development of Tourism unit responsible for advancing policy in this area has recently established a core indicator set for application by the sustainable tourism observatories part of the UNWTO International Network on Sustainable Tourism Observatories (INSTO). This set gives a clearer indication of the types of measures that should be the focus of measurement but it is quite some distance from an indicator that could be used to determine whether particular tourism activities were sustainable.

A key lesson from the development of sustainable tourism policy and the associated indicators is that sustainability must also be conceptualized at a subnational destination level – this is the level at which it is most meaningful to consider the combination of economic, environmental and social factors and to assess relevant capacities and thresholds.

With respect to the development of SDG indicators, the lesson from this past work on sustainable tourism policy and measurement is that there is no prospect in the short or medium term for establishing a single indicator that identifies sustainable tourism industries as would be required to meet the description of the new indicator for Target 8.9.
Notwithstanding this measurement challenge, and motivated by the renewed momentum behind the measurement of sustainable development, the UNWTO, with the support of UNSD, initiated a significant project titled “Towards a Statistical Framework for Measuring Sustainable Tourism” (MST). MST commenced in late 2015. Through 2016 there was significant progress in clarifying the measurement challenge, engaging with relevant stakeholders and building momentum around the need for improved measurement in this area. A significant milestone was the 1st meeting of the Working Group of Experts in October 2016. Much of the content of this note builds on the findings from research and discussion in the course of the MST project to date.

The recognition of the need for MST and its enthusiastic endorsement by a wide range of stakeholders points to the fact that work is underway to establish a sound statistical base for the measurement of sustainable tourism. The relevant experts are already engaged - as requested in the response from the IAEG-SDG co-chair. But still, it is also clear that short term solutions to find statistical definitions of sustainable tourism are not present. There is however, strong support for the potential of the tourism statistics community to build the required supporting material to advance the widespread measurement of the previously proposed indicators for target 8.9.

In terms of the definition of sustainable tourism itself, the research and discussion within the MST project has found and confirmed to key points that:

- A broad coverage is needed of economic, environmental and social factors but that the development of an integrated statistical measurement base should focus on economic (including employment) and environmental dimensions initially, as measurement of social and cultural aspects is less developed.
- It will be possible to build on existing statistical standards for tourism and the environment in the development of a statistical framework.
- The sub-national and destination dimensions are fundamental to understanding the sustainability of tourism activity.
- A number of indicators will be required to assess sustainability rather than a single or composite indicator.

The originally proposed indicators (i.e. GDP and jobs in the tourism industries) to cover target 8.9 were in line with this thinking. They were based on currently existing statistical standards (primarily the TSA:RMF, IRTS and SEEA Central Framework); data for them exists in a large number of countries, and they were indicators that were in line with the types of indicators currently used within the sustainable tourism policy context.

Also, with an understanding of the indicators endorsed by the IAEG-SDG in early 2016 and provisionally approved by the UN Statistical Commission, work has been proceeding to extend and improve the compilation guidance in these areas notably through the advancing of a TSA Compilation Guide and a Technical Note on the development of environmental indicators for tourism (based on the SEEA Central Framework and the TSA). As part of the MST there are also a range of pilot studies underway to advance the statistical measurement of sustainable tourism along these lines.

**Concerns regarding the new indicator for jobs in sustainable tourism industries**

Unfortunately, the proposed new indicator 8.9.2 holds no clear benefits from the perspective of monitoring the target. The following are the main likely challenges in measurement and implementation:

- Since tourism is defined from the demand side the conceptualization of sustainable industries is not clear.
- There is no general statistical definition of “sustainable industries” which could be applied to tourism and no apparent prospect of such a definition in the short term. Ultimately defining sustainability will require the balancing of information on economic, environmental and social factors and hence likely require...
some sense of weighting of these factors. This has not been successfully undertaken for any industry, especially from a statistical perspective.

- There is no statistical definition of “tourism jobs” strictly speaking. The TSA:RMF 2008 states: “the measurement of employment is limited to employment in the tourism industries and the variables used to express this volume are the number of jobs and hours worked” (para 4.64). “Direct tourism employment” is the concept employed to describe jobs that can be directly attributed to tourism spending in tourism and non-tourism industries. Thus, the TSA:RMF 2008 concerns jobs in industries classified as tourism ones.

- Even if agreement on definitions for “tourism jobs” and “sustainable industries” can be reached, it still leaves open the question of how these two concepts relate. Conceptually speaking, it could be envisaged that just because a tourism job is in a “sustainable industry” doesn’t mean that the tourism job itself would necessarily be sustainable.

- There is no particular connection of the proposed indicator with the policy discussion on sustainable tourism, i.e. it is not an indicator that has been discussed in that context and hence the motivation for its measurement is unclear.

- The newly proposed indicator is not based in any existing or related statistical frameworks or statistical infrastructures, even though the Committee understands that a guiding principle in designing the SDG indicator framework was precisely to have a statistically based indicator set following the request by the UN General Assembly.

Given the lack of definition of the components of the newly proposed indicator, there will be no associated measurement in the medium/long term even in developed countries, and adoption in developing countries would take even longer. The implied lack of monitoring of progress towards this target when the potential for active measurement exists is frustrating from a statistical perspective since it tends to reinforce the perception that statisticians are happy to let the perfect be the enemy of the good.

Above these more technical concerns, perhaps of greatest concern to the Committee, is that the proposal for the new indicator 8.9.2 has not had any input from the experts in tourism statistics and those entities responsible for the advancement of measurement in this area. More broadly, engagement with the IAEG-SDG process has been challenging. A more active involvement of stakeholders concerned with the various topics by the IAEG-SDG would be highly appreciated and support the discussion process.

**A pathway forward**

It is recognized that progress is required towards improved measurement of sustainable tourism. The establishment of an area work towards a framework for Measuring Sustainable Tourism (MST) by UNWTO and with the support of UNSD in late 2015 and the substantive progress that has been made, especially in terms of engaging a wide range of relevant stakeholders, suggests that improvements in measurement will be possible progressively in the coming months and years.

However, for the purposes of measuring progress towards the current SDG targets, the Committee feels a pragmatic stance must be adopted in the selection of indicators. This recognizes the need to further establish tourism statistics and related environmental and social statistics in all countries, since all three dimensions must be captured to give effect to individual sustainability indicators.

From this pragmatic perspective, there is sufficient information and agreement to identify a limited number of relevant indicators on sustainable tourism as proposed by UNWTO the Committee in late 2015 and early 2016. For measuring the role of employment and jobs this would mean monitoring the number of jobs in tourism industries which is agreed to be an important aspect of sustainability in tourism. The Committee is firmly of the view that continuing to advance toward improved measurement of these indicators is the appropriate course of action.
This approach would additionally have the benefit of recognizing that the previously agreed indicators are currently being produced by many countries and also already part of structural international data compilation by UNWTO (even though coverage can admittedly be improved). As mentioned before, the Committee is very much aware and actively working on advancing a framework for Measuring Sustainable Tourism driven by various motivations including, but not limited to, monitoring of the SDGs.

As outlined in this note the Committee considers that the newly proposed indicator for jobs is not suitable. At the same time, again recognizing the pragmatic need to seek opportunities to monitor at least partly the target in the short/medium term, the Committee suggests that “jobs in the tourism industries” could be used as an adequate interim proxy solution in addition to tourism direct GDP.

The Committee also notes that it continues to see merit in the concerns raised previously about the IAEG-SDG proposed indicator for Target 12.b and it therefore proposes that the IAEG-SDG adopt the Committee proposed indicator for this Target (i.e. Stage of implementation of monitoring tools which are the Tourism Satellite Accounts (TSA) and the System of Environmental-Economic Accounting (SEEA)) to ensure that monitoring of the progress towards the SDGs can proceed as meaningfully and as practically as possible.

Finally, the Committee seeks a more open and engaged discussion with the IAEG-SDG on matters concerning the measurement of sustainable tourism. This is indeed an important area, as recognized in 2017 being the UN International Year of Sustainable Tourism for Development. Additionally, the 6th UNWTO International Conference on Tourism Statistics, to be held on 21-23 June 2017 in Manila/Philippines is dealing with precisely this topic. Progress towards the ambitions embodied in the SDGs would benefit from more effective use of the enthusiasm and expertise of tourism statistics experts from around the world.

---

7 This would have in fact justified classifying the original indicator 8.9.2 as Tier I, instead of Tier II which is how it became classified officially by the IAEG-SDG.
Annex 1

Concerns raised by the Committee on indicator 12.b

(extract from the Note provided to the IAEG-SDG by UNWTO®)

While the proposed indicator duly recognizes the “means of implementation” character of target 12.b, it should be recognized that there are some fundamental measurement issues. Primarily, there is no internationally agreed (statistical) concept that can be used to define a “sustainable tourism strategy or policy and action plan”, nor what the relationship/boundary may be between the three different instruments (e.g. a tourism master plan may contain elements of all three—thus would this count as 1 or 3 actions?). In addition, there is currently no international dataset collecting this information, nor any plans to do so, and it would seem difficult to incorporate into existing statistical infrastructures due to the abovementioned issues.

Given these realities, it is proposed that the most appropriate indicator for target 12.b is one that measures directly the “development and implementation of tools to monitor” sustainable tourism in a country. In this context, UNWTO considers that the relevant monitoring tools are the international statistical standards applicable to the measurement of sustainable tourism, notably the TSA:RMF and the SEEA Central Framework. Consequently, an appropriate indicator for Target 12.b would involve assessment of the “stage of implementation of SEEA and TSA frameworks”. This could be measured by the number of tables produced. Alternatively, it could be directly linked to indicators for Targets 17.99 and 17.1910 through definition of the indicator using the dollar value of resources allocated to implementation of the SEEA and TSA frameworks.

Adopting an indicator of this type could help motivate the necessary (investments in) statistical development in countries towards implementing a SEEA-TSA based statistical framework for sustainable tourism. This, in turn, would support the production of indicators on sustainable tourism itself (such as those necessary to monitor Target 8.9).

UNWTO proposal

For Target 12.b, the currently proposed indicator should be adapted to focus on measurement of the stage of implementation of the SEEA and TSA frameworks.

---

9 Proposed indicator 17.9.1*: The dollar value of financial and technical assistance, including through North-South, South-South and triangular cooperation, committed to developing countries’ designing and implementing a holistic policy mix that aims at sustainable development in three dimensions (including elements such as reducing inequality within a country and governance)
10 Proposed indicator 17.19.1 Dollar value of all resources made available to strengthen statistical capacity in developing countries